BEYOND THE FOG

AI-Powered Plume Modeling for Crisis Readiness and Response

By: Dustin Shepherd

Abstract

Artificial Intelligence (AI) is reshaping how emergency management leaders plan or prepare for, respond to, and recover from hazardous events. Within plume modeling, the forecasting of hazardous material dispersion, AI remains underutilized despite advances in predictive analytics. This article examines the operational and training potential of AI-assisted plume modeling, focusing on three critical applications: surrogate models that accelerate dispersion calculations, data assimilation that incorporates real-time weather and sensor inputs, and decision-support overlays that enhance legacy systems such as HYSPLIT and HPAC.

Using case studies of the Fukushima Daiichi nuclear disaster and the Texas City refinery explosion, this article demonstrates how failures in integration, communication, and timeliness, not just computational capacity, magnified the consequences of both crises. This article argues that AI could have altered these outcomes by improving situational awareness, enabling earlier protective actions, and supporting cross-agency coordination. Finally, it provides a roadmap for embedding AI into preparedness efforts, from tabletop exercises to full-scale drills, ensuring that leaders and responders build fluency before the next crisis. Ultimately, AI strengthens human leadership by enabling decisions at the speed of disaster.

Introduction

The landscape of emergency management is continually evolving, and the ability to predict and respond to airborne hazards in real time can be the difference between life saved and life lost. Plume modeling—used to forecast the spread of hazardous gases, chemicals, or radiological particles—remains central to the homeland security mission. Yet, many of the tools relied upon today, such as ALOHA (Areal Locations of Hazardous Atmospheres), HPAC (Hazard Prediction and Assessment Capability), and HYSPLIT (Hybrid Single-Particle Lagrangian Integrated Trajectory), were designed for lower-tempo, less kinetic decision-making environments. These platforms often require manual manipulation, rely on static assumptions, and lack the real-time adaptability needed in modern crises (National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration [NOAA], 2022; U.S. Department of Homeland Security [DHS], 2023). The result is a modeling infrastructure that is too rigid and too slow to meet the operational demands of contemporary response environments.

Artificial Intelligence (AI) offers a path to modernize plume modeling at both operational and training levels. Specifically, AI can enhance plume modeling in three critical ways: surrogate models that accelerate complex dispersion calculations, data assimilation that incorporates near-real-time weather and sensor data, and decision-support overlays that strengthen existing platforms like HYSPLIT and HPAC. These capabilities enable AI systems to ingest diverse, real-

time data streams—from meteorological sensors to drone imagery—and generate dynamic, context-aware forecasts that evolve as the environment unfolds (Ciottone et al., 2020).

This article explores the practical benefits of AI-assisted plume modeling by analyzing two major disasters through a pracademic lens: the Fukushima Daiichi nuclear disaster and the Texas City refinery explosion. In both cases, the critical failure was not simply a gap in modeling capability, but a breakdown in timely decision-making. AI could have provided improved situational awareness, accelerated protective actions, and enhanced cross-agency coordination. Finally, this piece outlines how these lessons can be applied to training environments that build muscle memory for responders—demonstrating that AI in plume modeling is not a speculative future tool, but a present operational asset.

Lessons from the Field: Where Legacy Systems Fell Short

Case Study 1: Fukushima Daiichi Nuclear Disaster (2011)

A 9.0-magnitude earthquake, followed by a devastating tsunami, struck the Fukushima Daiichi Nuclear Power Plant, disabling its power systems (Russian News Agency [RAN], 2023). As core meltdowns occurred and containment became impossible, radioactive material was released into the air and water. Initial exclusion zones were based on basic wind assumptions rather than fully integrated, real-time dispersion modeling. Inland towns like Litate Village and Namie received fallout without warning, in part because live meteorological data was not incorporated into actionable predictions.

Japan's SPEEDI (System for Prediction of Environmental Emergency Dose Information) did generate radiation dispersion forecasts during the crisis, but the real breakdown occurred in integration and communication. SPEEDI outputs were not fully shared with decision makers or the public in the crucial early hours, leaving frontline leaders and communities without timely guidance on evacuation or shelter-in-place actions.

AI Relevance and Missed Opportunity

AI could have bridged this gap by integrating radiation sensors and real-time wind data with automated decision-support overlays, ensuring that forecasts were rapidly translated into protective action recommendations. Evacuation orders might have been recalibrated within hours rather than days. If training programs had incorporated AI-enabled modeling tools, responders could have rehearsed how to manage both the technical outputs and the communication of protective actions. The relationship between information, data, and ground truth is a critical node for leaders to grasp before effective crisis decisions can be made. AI strengthens this node by assisting leaders in evaluating the best course of action based on evolving, real-time information (Funabashi & Kitazawa, 2012; NAIIC, 2012; Shepherd, 2025).

Case Study 2: Texas City Refinery Explosion (2005)

At BP's Texas City Refinery, a procedural failure during unit start-up triggered a massive explosion that released a vapor cloud containing benzene and other volatile organic compounds. Fifteen people were killed, and more than 170 others were injured (U.S. Chemical Safety Board, 2007). Responders worked without an accurate, real-time modeling of the chemical plume. As a result, downwind medical triage sites were misaligned, and the potential for secondary ignition was not adequately forecasted.

The explosion highlighted the limits of plume modeling integration at the local level. Responders struggled to establish effective triage sites and to determine when to issue shelter-in-place guidance for surrounding neighborhoods.

AI Relevance and Missed Opportunity

AI-assisted plume modeling could have provided near-instant recommendations in two critical areas:

- Triage Staging – Rather than routing casualties toward refinery-adjacent access roads, an AI-supported model would likely have redirected triage to the west service corridor, reducing bottlenecks and cutting transport times by an estimated 15–20 minutes.
- Protective Action Calls – A shelter-in-place order could have been issued within the first 20 minutes of the blast, targeting nearby schools and residential zones. Such an early call would have reduced chemical exposure risk and stabilized community response more quickly.

Real-time AI plume tools also could have projected the evolving shape and intensity of the vapor cloud and flagged ignition thresholds before responders entered dangerous zones. More importantly, if teams had incorporated AI-based plume simulations into pre-incident training drills, they would have been more familiar with hazard warning thresholds during refinery start-up processes (San Jacinto College & Shah, 2025). By translating plume predictions into operational decisions, AI could have shifted Texas City from a reactive to a proactive posture, reducing risk for both responders and civilians.

How AI Improves Plume Modeling

AI-assisted plume modeling offers a vital change in speed, adaptability, and clarity:

- Real-Time Responsiveness: Machine learning algorithms can continuously ingest new data—like sudden wind shifts or heat signatures—and update predictions without manual re-entry.
- Multi-Source Integration: AI can synthesize weather feeds, chemical sensor data, geographic information system (GIS) maps, and aerial surveillance in seconds.

- Actionable Outputs: Rather than technical scatter plots, AI tools can deliver simple decision cues: Where is the red zone? Who needs to move? What is at risk?
- Predictive Analytics: Instead of just modeling what is happening, AI offers forecasts of what is likely to happen next—supporting anticipatory, not reactive, leadership.

As discussed in *Thinking Through AI*, these adaptive systems mirror human intuition when refined through exposure, making training with AI just as crucial as deploying it in live events (Shepherd, 2025).

Embedding AI into Training Environments: From Tabletop to Triage

To prepare for the future, training must reflect the tools of the future. The U.S. Department of Homeland Security (2023) emphasizes that AI integration must occur not only in operational response but also in preparedness planning and professional development. The DHS AI Roadmap (2024) further calls for modernizing training content and delivery methods to build workforce fluency in AI. Embedding AI-assisted plume modeling into exercises and training environments, therefore represents not just an innovation but also a compliance step with national guidance. The following domains illustrate where this integration can be most impactful.

Tabletop Exercises
AI plume tools should be injected into chemical spill or radiological release scenarios. Commanders can practice receiving dynamic updates and adjusting plans as simulated wind or weather data shifts. This approach reflects DHS guidance that training must evolve to include AI-based decision-support systems in controlled, low-risk environments (DHS, 2024).

Functional and Full-Scale Drills
Equipping response teams with tablets or AI dashboards during hazmat and weapon of mass destruction (WMD) simulations allows field players to make evacuation or shelter-in-place calls based on AI-assisted dispersion maps. Post-event comparisons between human-initiated and AI-informed decisions can then be used to evaluate interpretability and trust—criteria DHS identifies as central to *responsible, human-centered AI deployment* (DHS, 2023).

Incident Command System (ICS) Leadership Courses
Historical case studies such as Fukushima can be replayed through AI models that reconstruct alternative dispersion outcomes. Leaders can then discuss how command messaging and protective action guidance would change if AI outputs were available. This directly supports the

DHS Roles and Responsibilities Framework for AI in Critical Infrastructure (2024), which emphasizes oversight, accountability, and the ability of human operators to translate AI insights into trusted decision-making.

Pre-Incident Industrial Training

Facilities with chemical inventories—such as refineries, laboratories, and manufacturing plants—should simulate AI-supported start-up procedures and emergency shutdowns. Gamified AI risk-threshold scenarios during shift safety briefings can build familiarity before live operations. This echoes DHS policy that private-sector operators of critical infrastructure must adopt AI responsibly and ensure their personnel are trained to oversee it safely (DHS, 2023).

Public Health Response Training

AI plume models can assist epidemiologists and public health officers in estimating toxic exposure zones and prioritizing vulnerable populations. Incorporating this capability into local and state surge planning drills is consistent with DHS's insistence that AI tools in public service domains be lawful, safe, trustworthy, and transparent (DHS, 2024).

Integration Takeaway

Embedding AI into training environments is not a speculative recommendation—it reflects DHS's explicit direction that agencies modernize preparedness and workforce training to incorporate AI responsibly. Doing so ensures that responders do not encounter AI tools for the first time in the chaos of a live plume event, but rather arrive trained, tested, and confident in their ability to use AI as a trusted decision-support partner.

RECOMMENDATIONS FOR PRACADEMICS AND FIELD LEADERS

1. **Start with Pilot Projects:** Use a small-scale AI plume tool in one department's quarterly drill and build from there.
2. **Focus on Interpretability:** Choose platforms that simplify outputs for non-technical users.
3. **Model Real Events:** Reconstruct past disasters with AI tools to test accuracy and simulate alternate outcomes.
4. **Train on the Tool, Not Just the Scenario:** Emergency professionals should be as fluent with their AI interfaces as they are with their radios.
5. **Include Cross-Sector Partners:** Ensure public health, transportation, and local media are included in AI-based simulations.

Conclusion

The disasters of Fukushima and Texas City revealed an uncomfortable truth: our ability to forecast danger has often lagged behind the speed at which crises unfold. AI-assisted plume modeling offers a way to change that trajectory by enabling faster, more adaptive, and more confident decision making. Yet, technology on its own is inert. Its value emerges only when paired with training that prepares leaders to interpret, communicate, and act on its outputs.

AI does not replace human leadership—it strengthens it. By providing decision-makers with the information that matches the tempo of the disaster, AI equips responders with the clarity and confidence to act when seconds matter. The task before us is not to wait for future tools but to embed today's AI capabilities into training, drills, and preparedness planning. Leaders who build this fluency now will ensure that when the next plume rises, their teams are ready, not reactive.

References

Ciottone, G., Biddinger, P., Darling, R. G., & Hsu, E. B. (2020). *Ciottone's Disaster Medicine* (3rd ed.). Elsevier.

Funabashi, Y., & Kitazawa, K. (2012). Fukushima in review: A complex disaster, a disastrous response. *Bulletin of the Atomic Scientists, 68*(2), 9–21. https://doi.org/10.1177/0096340212440359

General Services Administration. (2024). *The AI Training Series for Government Employees*. https://www.gsa.gov/blog/2024/09/23/empowering-responsible-ai-the-ai-training-series-for-government-employees

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration. (2022). *HYSPLIT - Hybrid Single-Particle Lagrangian Integrated Trajectory Model*. https://www.ready.noaa.gov/HYSPLIT.php

Russian News Agency. (2023, September 28). *Japan to begin discharging second batch of purified Fukushima water on October 5*. TASS. https://tass.com/world/1682033

San Jacinto College & Shah, A. (2025). *Inquiry into AI Integration in Emergency Management Training*. https://bush.tamu.edu/wp-content/uploads/2025/06/Davis_Final-Report_Inquiry-into-AI-as-related-to-Emerg-Mgmt_24-25.pdf

Shepherd, D. (2025). *Thinking Through AI: Reclaiming Classroom Intuition, Curiosity, and Courage in the Age of Intelligent Machines*. Tranquility Press.

U.S. Chemical Safety Board. (2007). *Investigation Report: Refinery Explosion and Fire*. https://www.csb.gov/bp-america-refinery-explosion/

U.S. Department of Homeland Security. (2023). *AI Technology in Emergency Management: Integration Guidelines and Case Studies*. https://www.dhs.gov/emergency-ai-integration

About the Author

Dustin Shepherd is a retired U.S. Army Sergeant Major with 29 years of service and three combat deployments. He earned his master's degree in Homeland Security from National University in 2009 and completed multiple domestic deployments with the California Army National Guard before retiring in 2023. He served as a teaching Fellow at the United States Sergeants Major Academy in Fort Bliss, Texas, where he instructed executive-level leadership concepts to senior military leaders and international partners. He currently serves as an adjunct professor at National University, teaching disaster management and crisis leadership. Dustin is the author of Thinking Through AI: Reclaiming Classroom Intuition, Curiosity, and Courage in the Age of Intelligent Machines and leads research on AI integration in crisis response environments. He is also the founder of Tranquility Ocean Adventures, a nonprofit supporting PTSD recovery through adventure-based healing.

COPYRIGHT